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Jonathan Bouchet

## OUTLINE

Overview of the AgML implementation of the PIXEL detector (PXL), Middle Support Cylinder (MSC) and beam pipe.

1. Beam Pipe :
2. Comparison with BrushwellMann drawing.
3. Radiation length, dimensions.
4. PXL and MSC :
5. Comparison of SolidWorks model (SW) and GEANT modeling :
6. The details of implementation (naming, dimensions of volumes).
7. Check of radiation length.

Disclaimer : this talk only covers the details of the geometry implementation; STAR-software (reconstruction, etc..) issues are addressed in the next talk.

## SW model of the PXL+MSC



Middle Support Cylinder = PST + PIT

## AgML : Abstract geometry Model Language (*)

- STAR geometry is implemented in the Advanced Geant Interface (using GEANT3) :
- Mortran pre-processor.
- Several source codes are used for 1) simulation 2) conversion to TGeo (reconstruction) 3) conversion to Sti (tracking).
- Sti cannot handle complex shapes.
- No path forward to GEANT4, ...
- Change to AgML will allow :
- Use of better simulation packages (GEANT4).
- Unified geometry model : no differences in simulation, reconstruction and tracking.
- Remove dependence on Jurassic technologies such as Mortran and ZEBRA.
(*) J. Webb : -Collaboration Meeting, tracking review
-STAR upgrade workshop


## REpresentation of radiation length

- Estimation of material budget for geometry dev13 [AgML].
- Use of the existing command line in STARSIM to plot the material for a given window $\eta, \phi, \mathrm{Rmin}$, Rmax.
- Use of StarBASE (*) code plot radiation length vs. $\eta, \phi$ :
- Parameters : $\eta, \phi$ ranges, binning , as well as the number of triggers per bins can be set up : more handy than the STARSIM command.
- It plots the radiation length for a given GEANT volume, not by choosing the [Rmin,Rmax] range from the STARSIM command.
- Both methods use 10 GeV geantinos.


## 1.New Beam Pipe




Figure 3. Prelininary new beam pipe.

- The input was the Brushwellman drawing.
- Coded as 3 sections of aluminum (edges) and beryllium (central part).
- For $|\eta|<1$, the estimated radiation length is $\sim 0.2-0.3 \% \mathrm{X}_{0}$

Figure3: "Effective Thickness of the HFT Beam Pipe.. Beavis, August 26, 2009"
*Beam pipe has been coded by Amilkar Quintero

## DIMENSIONS OF THE BEAM PIPE


Note : the length of the flanges is arbitrary because it was not specified in the drawing.

|  |  |  |  | flange |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Zrange <br> (inches) | $-54.71 ;$ | $-15.75 ;$ | $31.5 ;$ | $-55.71 ;$ |
| Rmin;Rmax <br> (inches) | $0.7875 ;$ | 0.8525 | $0.8875 ;$ | $0.7875 ;$ |
|  | 0.8175 | 0.8525 | $0.7875 ;$ |  |
| Material | Aluminum | Beryllium | Aluminum | Aluminum |

## Radiation length of the beam pipe



Material in rad.len vs pseudo-rapıdit. $\times 10^{=}$


Material in rad.len vs pseudo-rapidity



Material in rad.len vs phi

For $|\eta|<6$

For $|\eta|<1$

## Radiation length of the beam pipe




- The dimensions (length, radii) are agree with the Brushwellman drawing.
- As seen in previous slide, there is more material budget for large Z :
- in the central region where the pixel stands, the radiation is very low.


## CoMParison of The Radiation LengTh

Depth vs eta [PIPE]



Figure 3. Prelininary new beam pipe.

- The ordering of the radiation length profile vs. vertices positions is OK but the eta values of the change in profile are not completely agree
- Compatibility of both simulations?


## COMPARISON BETWEEN METHODS USED TO PLOT THE RADIATION LENGTH


(


Material in rad.len vs pseudo-rapıdity


Material in rad.len vs phi

- The radiation length vs. $\eta$ (top) and $\phi$ (bottom) shows the SAME profile for both methods.


## 2.PXL (SW)



- The input for the PIXEL (ladder + sector) dimensions/ shapes is the SW representation.
- Flemming has done a translation of SW model to TGeo geometry.
- It provides directly the shape, dimensions of the elements and then simplifies their implementation
 in AgML.
- The idea was to code 1 sector and then duplicate it x10


## 1st ITERATION : SECTOR SUPPORT + ACTIVE SILICON

This is the first version (in CVS since december) of the PXL in AgML.
Volume naming convention.

- $\underline{\text { PLAC }}=$ active silicon ladder : it was the name used in UPGR15.
- PXCA-PXCB-PXCC-PXCD,PXCE PXCF,PXGH,PXCH are the corners, starting from the bottom right ( $\uparrow$ ) :
PiXel Corner A...
- PXTR-PXTM-PXTL are the
planes supporting the active silicon on the top :
PiXel Top Right, PiXel Top Middle, PiXel Top Left.
-PXTJ are the 2 planes joining the planes on the top :
PiXel Top Join

- PXLB, PXRB, PXIB are the planes on front of the beam pipe and between 2 sectors (Pixel Low Beam, Pixel Rear Beam, Pixel Inner Beam).





ALL LAYERS TOGETHER


ALCA : Aluminum Cable


## SUMMARY OF MATERIAL BUDGET

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { GEANT } \\ & \text { NAME } \end{aligned}$ | piece | shape | Composition / mixture | Radiation length [cm] | Density $\left[\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PLAC | Silicon active | box | Si | 9.36 | 2.33 |
| SIFR | Silicon passive | box | Si | 9.36 | 2.33 |
| SIFL | Silicon passive | box | Si | 9.36 | 2.33 |
| GLUA | adhesive | box | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O}(0.164) \\ & \mathrm{C}(0.763) \\ & \mathrm{H}(0.073) \end{aligned}$ | 34.7 | 1.2(*) |
| GLUB | adhesive | box | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O}(0.164) \\ & \mathrm{C}(0.763) \\ & \mathrm{H}(0.073) \end{aligned}$ | 34.7 | 1.2(*) |
| GLUC | adhesive | box | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{O}(0.164) \\ & \mathrm{C}(0.763) \\ & \mathrm{H}(0.073) \end{aligned}$ | 34.7 | 1.2(*) |
| ALCA | Aluminum cable | box | Al | 23.7(*) | 2.7(*) |
| CBFK | Carbon Fiber backing | box | C | 68(*) | $1.3\left({ }^{*}\right)$ |

## Overview of the PIXEL


, REVIEW

## PIXEL DETECTOR RADIATION LENGTH



Material in rad.len vs pseudo-rapidity


Material in rad.len vs pseudo-rapidity


Material in rad.len vs phi


Material in rad.len vs phi

For $|\eta|<3$

For $|\eta|<1$

## PIXEL DETECTOR RADIATION LENGTH, For $\mid$ ETA $\mid<.5$



Material in rad.len vs pseudo-rapıdity


Material in rad.len vs phi

- Peaks in the azimuthal profiles comes from tracks crossing the entire pixel support.
- Other small peaks are the overlaps between ladder.


## SILICON SENSITIVE RADIATION LENGTH



Depth vs phi [PLAC]


- For 1 layer of active silicon, the expected radiation length is $0.0677 \%$ (see slide 40).
- then for 2 ladders (inner and outer), the radiation length should be : 0.1354\%


### 3.1 SW MODEL OF THE PST



### 3.2 SW MODEL OF THE PIT



## Example of implementation



Length $(Z)=6 \mathrm{~mm}$
Outer $=259 \mathrm{~mm}$
Inner $=239 \mathrm{~mm}$

Length $(Z)=25 \mathrm{~mm}$
Outer1 $=239 \mathrm{~mm}$
Inner1 $=237 \mathrm{~mm}$
Length $(Z)=1 \mathrm{~mm}$
Outer2 $=259 \mathrm{~mm}$
Inner2 $=239 \mathrm{~mm}$

LFBA : Left Flange Base part A

LFBB : Left Flange Base part B

LFBK : Left Flange BacKer

APTS : A Pipe

## Example of Naming convention :

BEAM PIPE SUPPORT CONE

RFBA : Right Flange Base part A
RFBB : Right Flange Base part B
RFBK : Right Flange BacKer
ABPR : A Beam Pipe Ring
BPPC : Beam Pipe PolyCon
EBPP : End Beam Pipe Polycon

RBPP : Ring Beam Pipe Polycon


Example of Naming convention : MSC TRANSITION PLATE

MTPA : Msc Transition Plate part A
MTPB : Msc Transition Plate part B
MTPC : Msc Transition Plate part C
MTPD : Msc Transition Plate part D
MTPE : Msc Transition Plate part E


## Overview of the MSC




Note : in this version, the inner radii of the IDSM ( $\uparrow$ ) has been changed from the coded value in order to avoid overlap with the PIT.

## GEANT Volumes : Hierarchy

- Volumes have to be organized by level in order for GEANT to find energy loss, impact point in each volumes/layers.
- The current status is :
- The IDSM includes the PIXEL and MSC.
$\rightarrow$ Issue : the MSC has a larger Z extension than the IDSM.
- The beam pipe is at the same level of the IDSM.
- The IDSM does not include the beam pipe.
$\rightarrow$ Issue 1 : the beam pipe has a larger extension in Z than the IDSM.
$\rightarrow$ Issue 2 : the beam pipe is inside the PIXEL, therefore it should be placed INSIDE the PIXEL/IDSM.
- The MSC is placed with respect the center of the IDSM.
- It is then placed at the center of STAR.
- The pixel detector is not placed at the center of the IDSM because the active silicon are not symmetric along a ladder.
- there's a offset of the whole sector in order to have the center of the active silicon placed at $(0,0,0)$.



## Radiation Length breakdown

 radlen vs. $\eta$


- Left : using StarBASE ; it does not include the beam pipe material.
- Right : using STARSIM ; it does include all material (beam pipe + PXL + FGT + IDSM) in $\mid$ eta $\mid<3$
- There is more material (red histogram) for the PXL in eta $<0(\mathrm{Z}<0)$ because the silicon ladder is asymmetric with respect the ladder support.


## SUMMARY

- PIXEL detector geometry has been implemented in AgML.
- It has the fine details inherent to the PIXEL/ CMOS sensor and then necessary for tracking evaluation.
- The support material of the PIXEL, as well as the new beam pipe (requirement) have also been implemented.
- Material, radiation length and dimensions look agree with the input source (SW, Brushwellman drawing).


## Next steps

- Refine material budget for the MSC (slide 39)
- Remaining "big" parts of the MSC and some corrections :
shrouds

- Representation of ladder's cables (slide 38)
- Look at the GEANT tree for optimization.



## CABLES ON A LADDER



## Material for some parts of the MSC



From Joe Silber

## IDS ENVELOPE/INTERFACE DRAWING



## Radiation length vs $\eta$ For IDSM, PIXEL, FGT

- Default parameters are:
- Ntrig $=4$
- $\mathrm{d} \phi=.2$
- $\mathrm{d} \eta=.1$
- $|\eta|<6$
- $|\phi|<1$ deg.

- Same with Ntrig =100
- Increasing the \# of triggers give a slightly better resolution



## Explanation of the "radLen vs. Z/ETA" PROFILE



Real length of material crossed by the particle

Real length of material crossed by the particle


## Check with the [SSD] volume

## ALL "SSD" SSD LADDERS $\begin{array}{ll}\text { ACTIVE } & \text { RDO } \\ \text { SILICON }\end{array}$














## Radiation length of the beam pipe (starbase)



## PIXEL DETECTOR [PXMO volume] RADIATION LENGTH




- right: radiation length vs. azimuth.
- We observe double peaks (high radiation length) for tracks crossing the entire sector support
- Other small peaks are the overlaps between ladder.

