

#### Measurements of open charm production and flow in 200 GeV Au+Au collisions with the STAR experiment at RHIC

Spiros Margetis for the STAR Collaboration Kent State University



QCD@Work, 25-28 June 2018, Matera, Italy



# Introduction

Large collective flow and suppression of yields for charm hadrons in 200 GeV A+A collisions have been already reported by STAR

**New data**: Understand better heavy quark production, transport and hadronization in the presence of QGP



- Large directed\* (v<sub>1</sub>) and elliptic (v<sub>2</sub>) flow of D<sup>0</sup>
- Hadronization:  $\Lambda_c$ ,  $D_s$
- In medium energy loss: D<sup>0</sup>, B-mesons\*
- Medium modifications to yields/life-time: D<sup>\*+/-</sup>
- Total charm cross-section



# The STAR Detector



- 2 layers of Si pixels with MAPS and 2 layers of Si strips
- Full azimuthal coverage

Phys. Rev. Lett. 118 (2017) 212301



STAR Heavy Flavor Tracker (HFT) provides excellent vertex/track-dca resolution and allows reconstruction of charm hadron decays



# Recent D<sup>0</sup> Elliptic Flow (v<sub>2</sub>) Results from STAR





# D<sup>0</sup> v<sub>2</sub> Comparison to Light Hadrons



- D<sup>0</sup> v<sub>2</sub> results from combined 2014 + 2016 data
- D<sup>0</sup> v<sub>2</sub> measurement extended to 0-10% centrality
- Clear mass ordering for  $p_T < 2$  GeV/c in 10-40% centrality
- $D^0 v_2$  for  $p_T > 2$  GeV/c in 10-40% centrality follows the mesons



# NCQ Scaling Test



- NCQ scaling test with improved precision in D<sup>0</sup> v<sub>2</sub> measurement
- NCQ-scaled D<sup>0</sup> v<sub>2</sub> consistent with light hadrons for  $(m_T m_0)/n_q < 2.5 \text{ GeV/c}^2$  in 10-40%
- Evidence of charm quarks flowing with the medium

Charm quark appear to have achieved thermal equilibrium with the medium



#### D<sup>0</sup> v<sub>2</sub>: Data vs. Models



Compared Models	x2/NDF	p-value
SUBATECH [1]	17.3/8	0.026
TAMU c quark diff. [2]	12.0/8	0.15
TAMU no c quark diff. [2]	33.7/8	4.5 x10 <sup>-5</sup>
Duke (Bayesian) [3]	8.5/8	0.39
3D viscous hydro [4]	3.7/6	0.71
LBT [5]	13.3/8	0.10
PHSD [6]	8.7/7	0.27
Catania [7]	9.7/8	0.29

[1]SUBATECH: Phys Rev C 90, 054909 (2014), Phys Rev C 92, 014910 (2015) [2] TAMU: Phys Rev C 86, 014903 (2012), Phys Rev Lett 110, 112301 (2013) [3] Duke: Phys Rev C 92, 024907 (2015)

[4] 3D viscous hydro: *Phys Rev C 86, 024911 (2012)* [5] LBT: *Phys Rev C 94, 014909 (2016)* [6] PHSD: *Phys ReV 90, 051901 (2014), Phys ReV 90, 051901 (2014)* [7] Catania: *Phys ReV 96, 044905 (2017)* 

- D<sup>0</sup> v<sub>2</sub> results from combined 2014 + 2016 data
- Improved precision to constrain the models



# $\Lambda_{c}$ and Heavy Flavor Hadronization

- Strong enhancement of \(\lambda\_c\)/D<sup>0</sup> ratio seen in Au+Au collisions by STAR
  - Enhancement predicted from coalescence hadronization
- An enhancement relative to PYTHIA also seen in p+p and p+Pb collisions at LHC





- How does Ac production change from peripheral to central A+A collisions?
- What is the p⊤ dependence of ∧c production in A+A collisions?



# $p_T$ Dependence of $\Lambda_c/D^0$ Ratio



Ko: Phys.Rev.C 79 (2009) 044905 Greco: Eur.Phys.J.C (2018) 78:348 SHM: Phys.Rev.C 79 (2009) 044905

- Strong enhancement of  $\Lambda_c$  production compared to PYTHIA calculations
- Enhancement increases towards low  $p_{\mathsf{T}}$
- Coalescence model predictions are closer to data, but the observed enhancement is larger than that predicted by models, particularly at higher  $p_{\mathsf{T}}$
- Ratio not described by the Statistical Hadronization Model



# Centrality Dependence of $\Lambda_c$ Production



- First measurement of centrality dependence of  $\Lambda_c$  production in heavy-ion collisions
- $\Lambda_c/D^0$  ratio increases from peripheral to central, indicative of hot medium effects
- Ratio for peripheral Au+Au consistent with p+p values at 7 TeV



#### D<sub>s</sub> Production

 D<sub>s</sub>/D<sup>0</sup> enhancement expected in central A+A collisions, from strangeness enhancement and coalescence hadronization



- $D_s$  yield (relative to  $D^0$ ) is enhanced in A+A collisions
- Enhancement is larger than model predictions, particularly at higher  $p_{\mathsf{T}}$
- Ratio close to SHM predictions

ep/pp/ep avg: M Lisovyi, et. al. EPJ C 76, 397 (2016) TAMU: H. Min et al. PRL 110, 112301 (2013) SHM: A. Andronic et al., PLB 571 (2003) 36



#### D<sup>0</sup> Spectra and RAA

• Updated results from STAR for  $D^0$  extending to low  $p_T$  and non-central collisions



- RAA in central events < 1 at all  $p_T$
- Suppression at high  $p_T$  increases with centrality



# D<sup>0</sup> Cross-section and BW Fits to Spectra



- Total D<sup>0</sup> cross-section is nearly independent of centrality, and smaller than in p+p. However, decreases towards central collisions for  $p_T > 4$  GeV/c
- Blast Wave fits to D<sup>0</sup> spectra:
  - BW fits to  $p_T < 5$  GeV/c. Both standard and Tsallis BW fits tried
  - Result suggest an earlier freeze-out for D<sup>0</sup> than light hadrons



#### D\* Production in Au+Au Collisions

- Measure D<sup>\*+</sup>/D<sup>0</sup> ratio
  - D<sup>\*+</sup> feed-down contribution to D<sup>0</sup> yields ( $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^0 \pi_{soft}^+$ )
  - In-medium effects:
    - Shorter life time in medium (?). Lifetime in vacuum is ~2000 fm/c, but spectral function predicted to broaden in medium (R.Rapp et.al Phys. Rev. C (2018)97, 034918)
    - Rescattering can lead to loss of yield which was already seen for K<sup>\*</sup> (STAR, Phys. Rev. C (2011)84, 034909)





#### D<sup>\*</sup> Production in Au+Au Collisions



- $D^{+}/D^{0}$  ratio consistent with PYTHIA and with ALICE data at higher  $p_{T}$
- Ratio of the integrated yields shows no strong centrality dependence



#### Total Charm Cross-section

- Total charm cross-section is estimated from the various charm hadron measurements
  - D<sup>0</sup> yields are measured down to zero p<sup>+</sup>
  - For D<sup>+/-</sup> and D<sub>s</sub>, Levy (power law) fits to measured spectra are used for extrapolation (systematics).
  - For ∧<sub>c</sub>, three model fits to data are used and differences are included in systematics

Charm Hadron		Cross Section dơ/dy (µb)	
Au+Au 200 GeV (10-40%)	$D^0$	41 ± 1 ± 5	
	$D^+$	18 ± 1 ± 3	
	$D_s^+$	15 ± 1 ± 5	
	$\Lambda_c^+$	78 ± 13 ± 28 <b>*</b>	
	Total	152 ± 13 ± 29	
p+p 200 GeV	Total	130 ± 30 ± 26	

#### \* derived using $\Lambda_c^+ / D^0$ ratio in 10-80%

• Total charm cross-section is consistent with p+p value within uncertainties.



## Summary

- Extensive measurements of charm hadron yields in heavy-ion collisions by STAR
  - Combined 2014+2016 data
  - Improved significance from supervised machine-learning algorithms
- Large D0 elliptic flow STAR
  - Improved precision of  $D^0 v_2$  results with combined 2014 and 2016 data
  - D<sup>0</sup> v<sub>2</sub> result suggests charm quarks achieve a thermal equilibrium with the medium
  - Precise D<sup>0</sup> v<sub>2</sub> measurements can further constrain model calculations
- Strong modification of charm hadron spectra and hadrochemistry in A+A collisions!
  - Total charm cross-section consistent with p+p within systematic uncertainties.
  - Strong enhancement seen for \c/D<sup>0</sup> ratio in Au+Au. Suggests coalescence hadronization of deconfined charm quarks in the medium
  - Strong suppression of  $D^0$  yields at higher  $p_T$  in most central collisions



# THANK YOU



# Back Up



# 20



#### Summary

#### Directed flow

- First evidence of non-zero directed flow for heavy flavor
- Both  $D^0$  and  $\overline{D}^0$  show negative v<sub>1</sub>-slope near mid-rapidity
- Heavy flavor  $v_1 >$ light flavor  $v_1$

Data can be used to probe initial matter distribution

 Current precision is not sufficient to draw conclusion on magnetic field induced charge separation of heavy quarks

#### <u>Elliptic flow</u>

Improved precision of D<sup>0</sup> v<sub>2</sub> results with combined

2014 and 2016 data

- D<sup>0</sup> v<sub>2</sub> result suggests charm quarks achieve a thermal equilibrium with the medium
- Precise D<sup>0</sup> v<sub>2</sub> measurements can further constrain model calculations



# Boosted Decision Trees (BDT) for Ac Signal Extraction

- Simple cuts on variables have limitations on signal-background separation
- Supervised learning algorithms can do better!
  - Boosted Decision Trees: successive binary cuts on attributes
  - Good performance for classification problems
  - 7 topological variables as input
  - For training: signal from MC (with detector effects), background from data



**BDT Response** 



# Boosted Decision Trees (BDT) for Ac Signal Extraction

- Simple cuts on variables have limitations on signal-background separation
- Supervised learning algorithms can do better!



- More than 50% improvement in signal significance with TMVA BDT.
- Also new data from 2016 —> Effectively 4x more data compared to QM17



# Non-prompt D<sup>0</sup>

- Charm quarks interact strongly with the medium. How about bottom?
- Is there mass hierarchy for energy loss? Is  $\Delta E_c > \Delta E_b$ ?



- RAA of B mesons estimated from the measured non-prompt D<sup>0</sup> fraction
- Need better statistics and improved precision to understand mass dependence of energy loss.



# Non-prompt D<sup>0</sup>

- Charm quarks interact strongly with the medium. How about bottom?
- Is there mass hierarchy for energy loss? Is  $\Delta E_c > \Delta E_b$ ?



- RAA of B mesons estimated from the measured non-prompt D<sup>0</sup> fraction
- Need better statistics and improved precision to understand mass dependence of energy loss.

- Improved signal significance for nonprompt D<sup>0</sup> fraction using BDT
- New results with 2014+2016 data on the way







Charm quarks seem to acquire the same flow as light quarks!



# Back Up II



#### D+/- Raa



- Similar suppression for  $D^0$  and  $D^{+/-}$
- Spectra measurements important for total charm cross-section



#### Erratum details

#### Erratum: D<sup>0</sup> in AuAu (2010/2011 TPC Analysis) - I PRL 113 (2014) 142301

- Two mistakes were discovered in calculating TOF related efficiency corrections

   Hybrid PID: algorithm inconsistently implemented in data analysis vs efficiency calculation
   a transverse distance of closest approach cut efficiency was included in the correction two times
- p+p measurement: no issue (D<sup>o</sup> at p<sub>T</sub><2 GeV/c + D\* at 2-6 GeV/c, PRD 86 (2012) 072012), but the p+p D<sup>0</sup> baseline used for R<sub>AA</sub> is updated with latest knowledge of charm frag. ratios
  - considering the pT dependence of  $D^*/D^0$  frag. ratio
  - latest world average of c  $-> D^0$  and c  $-> D^*$  frag. ratios





#### Total charm cross-section: procedure



- Extracted for 10-40% centrality.
- Yields for D<sup>+/-</sup> and ∧<sub>c</sub> are scaled to 10-40% centrality using measured ratio to D<sup>0</sup>.
- Uncertainty evaluation and propagation:
  - In the p⊤ range with data points:
    - point by point statistical error propagated
    - point by point systematic error propagated
  - In the  $p_T$  range without data points
    - uncertainties from fit to points with statistical + systematic error
    - extrapolation uncertainty from variation of fit function



# BDT vs Rectangular Cuts Comparison

- Simple cuts on variables have limitations on signal-background separation
- Supervised learning algorithms can do better!



• More than 50% improvement in signal significance with TMVA BDT.



#### BW fits to D<sup>0</sup> spectra



- Fit values shown were from BW fits
- TBW gives lower temperatures for all particles, but similar radial flow



#### RAA of B through different channels



 The decay kinematics need to be unfolded for a fair comparison among different channels.

