Alignment Details S. Margetis, KSU

Definitions

STAR Global Coordinates

Wafer Local Coordinates



- Local v (along ladder) is fixed and along global +z
- Local w (normal to u-v [wafer] plane). Points away from exposed surface
- Local u (r-phi on wafer plane) varies so it forms a RHS with v-w (u,w,v)

Wafer Local Coordinates Details



- We use the above RHS notation (u,w,v)
- Karimaki et al (CMS) use the (u,v,w) notation. In that system v=- (our v) otherwise system is left-handed
- So the documentation is confusing...needs some straightening up....

Wafer Local Coordinates Details



Wafer Local Coordinates Details



The hit-track residual Δx in the direction perpendicular to the axial strips is given by

$$\Delta x \equiv x_{track} - x_{hit} = \delta x + z \sin \beta + \tan \phi (\delta y + z \sin \alpha + x \sin \gamma) - f(\vec{B}, \phi)$$
(1)

$$\Delta A = -B\delta\phi_n - \delta x_d + v_{dn}[A\delta\phi_t - B\delta\phi_d + \delta x_n]$$
$$(u_{hit} - u) = -\delta_u + t_u(\delta_w + v\delta_\alpha - u\delta_\beta) + v\delta_\gamma$$

...which after the convention corrections reach agreement with the exception of Chakraborty that has a sign problem [must be a typo]

$$\Delta x = -\Delta A = \delta x_d + B \sin \beta + \tan \phi (\delta x_n - B \delta \phi_d + A \delta \phi_t)$$

$$\Delta x \equiv x_{track} - x_{hit} = \delta x + z \sin \beta + \tan \phi (\delta y + z \sin \alpha + x \sin \gamma) - f(\vec{B}, \phi)$$

Need to reconcile this sign - most likely a typo
My Math shows others to be right

Local PXL system definitions (offline)





PXL Sector origin is the same as STAR global
 use same convention as in SSD/IST (as a whole) and IDS to simplify software

ladder





Global X

Offline use of Geometry Info

- Local-to-Global transforms are done in terms of TGeoHMatrix
- This can be e.g. the center of a sensor or a pixel.
- d,n,t are unit vectors and α , β , γ the corresponding rotation angles in x,y,z [u,w,v] directions [RHS]. d_x is the unit vector d projection on the x-axis etc

TGeoHMatrix definition
$$\begin{pmatrix} x_G \\ y_G \\ z_G \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
= $\begin{pmatrix} \hat{d}_x & \hat{n}_x & \hat{t}_x & d_x \\ \hat{d}_y & \hat{n}_y & \hat{t}_y & d_y \\ \hat{d}_z & \hat{n}_z & \hat{t}_z & d_z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ $\begin{pmatrix} x_L \\ y_L \\ z_L \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$

Local to Global transformation - definition

$$x_{G}^{i} = R \cdot x_{L}^{i} + T^{i}$$

$$x_{G} = \left(\hat{d}_{x} \cdot x_{L} + \hat{n}_{x} \cdot y_{L} + \hat{t}_{x} \cdot z_{L}\right) + d_{x}$$
8

For small rotations [8] $\begin{pmatrix} x_G \\ y_G \\ z_G \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{d}_x & \hat{n}_x & \hat{t}_x & d_x \\ \hat{d}_y & \hat{n}_y & \hat{t}_y & d_y \\ \hat{d}_z & \hat{n}_z & \hat{t}_z & d_z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_L \\ y_L \\ z_L \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} x_G \\ y_G \\ z_G \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\gamma & \beta & d_x \\ \gamma & 1 & -\alpha & d_y \\ -\beta & \alpha & 1 & d_z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_L \\ y_L \\ z_L \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$

Successive small rotations are additive, group Abelian(!) $[\alpha^2 = \beta^2 = \gamma^2 = \alpha\beta = ... = 0]$

	1	$-\gamma_1$	$oldsymbol{eta}_1$] [1	$-\gamma_2$	β_2		1	$-(\gamma_1+\gamma_2)$	$(\beta_1 + \beta_2)$
$R = R_1 \otimes R_2 =$	γ_1	1	$-\alpha_1$	•	γ_2	1	$-\alpha_2$	=	$(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)$	1	$-(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)$
	$-\beta_1$	α_1	1		$-\beta_2$	α_2	1		$-(\beta_1 + \beta_2)$	$(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)$	1

That is why we use multiplications to move from one system to another

PG = Tpc2Global *GL * PI *DP * SD * WLL; PXLInGlobal=Tpc2Magnet*IDS2Tpc*PXL2IDS*DShell2PXL*Sector2DShell*(Pxl-Sector)



{ 130,-0.1385336,-0.9903577, 0.0000000, 0.9903577,-0.1385336, 0.0000000, 0.0000000, 0.0000000, 1.0000000, -7.40004, -3.53066, 0.00000, 0.001, 0.001, 0.001, 0.001, 0.001, 0.001, 0.001}// PXMO_1/PXLA_4/LADR_2/PXSI_1/PLAC_1

Survey geometry + Calibrations: Based on day 155 from Long, got it 7/5 FIRST PASS ESTIMATED Corrections

SECTOR 2

dX mkm	dY mkm	dZ mkm	alpha mrad	beta mrad	gamma mrad	Comment
-250	-200	0	5	-5	0	PXL sector 2 Ladder 1
-500	-250	-380	5	-5	0	PXL sector 2 Ladder 2
-200	-200	0	5	-5	0	PXL sector 2 Ladder 3
-170	-200	-500	5	-5	0	PXL sector2 Ladder 4

SECTOR 4

80	-300	-300	1		1	0 PXL sector 4 Ladder 1	
-30	150	-300	1	1	0	Ladder 2	
-80	220	-420	1	1	0	Ladder 3	
-200	300	-400	1	1	0	Ladder 4	

Survey geometry + Calibrations: Based on day 155 from Long, got it 7/5 FIRST PASS ESTIMATED Corrections

SECTOR 7

-430	-280	50	-10	10	10	PXL sector 7 Ladder 1	
-800	-350	350	-10	10	10	Ladder 2	
-450	-350	200	-10	10	10	Ladder 3	
-200	-250	50	-10.	10	10	Ladder 4	



Inverse turns out to be just the transpose of the original matrix

$$1 = R \otimes R^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow R^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \gamma & -\beta \\ -\gamma & 1 & \alpha \\ \beta & -\alpha & 1 \end{bmatrix} = R^{T}$$

Example: small rotation only in **Global system** around z-axis, $\delta \gamma$ ($\delta \alpha = \delta \beta = 0$)

NOTE: All transformations are Local-to-Master type: $x_G^i = R \cdot x_L^i + T^i$

Global system



$$\begin{pmatrix} x' \\ y' \\ z' \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\delta\gamma & 0 & 0 \\ \delta\gamma & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x = X \\ y = Y \\ z = Z \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x' = x + (-\delta\gamma) \cdot y = X - \delta\gamma \cdot Y \\ y' = \delta\gamma \cdot x + y = X \cdot \delta\gamma + Y \\ z' = z = Z \end{aligned}$$



Example: small rotation only in **Global system** around z-axis, $\delta \gamma$ ($\delta \alpha = \delta \beta = 0$)

NOTE: All transformations are Local-to-Master type: $x_G^i = R \cdot x_L^i + T^i$

Global system



In Local system the same appears as rotation by $\delta \gamma$ plus a translation by $\Delta r = (r'-r)$

$\begin{pmatrix} u' \end{pmatrix}$		1	$-\delta\gamma$	0	$-\delta \gamma \cdot Y$	$\begin{pmatrix} u = A \end{pmatrix}$
w'	_	δγ	1	0	$\delta \gamma \cdot X$	w = 0
<i>v</i> '		0	0	1	0	v = B
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix}$		0	0	0	1	

$$u' = u + (-\delta\gamma) \cdot Y = A - \delta\gamma \cdot Y$$
$$w' = A \cdot \delta\gamma + X \cdot \delta\gamma = (A + X) \cdot \delta\gamma$$
$$v' = v = B$$

Offline Structures



Offline Structures

HFT PXL

PG = Tpc2Global *GL * PI *DP * SD * WLL; PXLInGlobal=Tpc2Magnet*IDS2Tpc*PXL2IDS*DShell2PXL*Sector2DShell*(Pxl-Sector)

Survey Structures

HFT PXL

WLL = LS * SL * PS (TPS fn); PXLInSector=Ladder2Sector*Sensor2Ladder*Pxl2Sensor

Assumptions

- Survey and Offline systems will differ. Data formats will differ too. Transform from one system to other possible.
- Origin of coordinate systems should be as close as possible to geometrical center of the module -> minimize effects
 - easy to work also with GEANT volume-placing matrices
 - unless there is a clear reason for not doing this
- Sensor center is center of active area
- Ladder center in-between sensors 5-6 (geometrical center)
- Sector center (proposed) to be the innermost (ladder #4) center due to the importance of first layer, rotated 180 by necessity (see next slide)
- TPS fits are assumed to be done on single sensor basis
 - pixel functions/parameters will be tagged with sensor ID

HFT Alignment Procedures

S. Margetis, KSU

- Introduction
- HFT Configuration System hierarchy
- (thoughts on) Proposed procedures
- Tools/Implementation (Jonathan)
- Plans, Timeline and Issues
- Summary

Alignment procedures review, BNL, Oct. 12, 2012

Flowchart of Geometry/Survey/Alignment



* VMC, STV ready

Introduction

- Anything we build or touch or use needs Modeling, Survey and in-situ Alignment
 - i.e. versioning
- Survey will freeze position of sensors on sectors (PXL). Help also with sector on hemisphere (PXL?). For SSD/IST will freeze position of sensors on ladder and ladder shape
- For each yearly Run the in-situ position of major detector elements needs to be rechecked

Reference System Hierarchy







General Layout





Reference systems - comments

- In general survey accuracy of critical components (relative pixel and/or sensor positions) expected to be better than acceptable values
- Will soon need surveyed positions of IDS targets
 - to build 'ideal' position Db
 - Sub-millimeter accuracies acceptable -> Tracks will fix them
- All this information is represented as matrices (position/orientation) of their center-of-gravity. These matrices are used to define Local to Global transforms

GEANT geometry can/should be synchronized with Realistic Volume hierarchy instead of the current 'patch-the-hit' scheme

- VMC environment will facilitate this

Local <-> Global transforms

OLD SSD

WG = Tpc2Global * GL * SG * LS * WLL; WaferInGlobal=Tpc2Magnet *SsdinTpc*SectorInSSD*LadderInSector*WaferInLadder

HFT SSD

WG = Tpc2Global * GL * LO * WLL; WaferInGlobal=Tpc2Magnet *IDS2Tpc*Ladder2IDS*WaferInLadder

HFT IST

WG = Tpc2Global * GL * PI *LO * WLL; WaferInGlobal=Tpc2Magnet *IDS2Tpc*PST2IDS*Ladder2PST*WaferInLadder

HFT PXL

PG = Tpc2Global *GL * PI *DP * SD * WLL; PXLInGlobal=Tpc2Magnet*IDS2Tpc*PXL2IDS*DShell2PXL*Sector2DShell*(Pxl-Sector)

Alignment methods (outline only)

- There are 'Global' and 'Self' Alignment methods
 - Global uses mostly 'external' track information
 - Self uses mostly 'internal' track information
 - For HFT we propose a mix (more Self!)
- We have successful 'Global' methods already in place (SVT/SSD)
 TPC distortions, t0, 'track tof' etc is a problem
- In HFT system we have significant sensor overlap to make use of 'Self' alignment methods. We also have high precision PXL info with excellent sector rigidity, survey info, placement.
- We need to use this advantage

We lack a hardware monitoring system. Once detectors are installed we rely on survey and alignment software The hit-track residual Δx in the direction perpendicular to the axial strips is given by

$$\Delta x \equiv x_{track} - x_{hit} = \delta x + z \sin \beta + \tan \phi (\delta y + z \sin \alpha + x \sin \gamma) - f(\vec{B}, \phi)$$
(1)

and for the direction parallel to the axial strips (in double sided ladders)

$$\Delta z \equiv z_{track} - z_{hit} = \delta z + x \sin \beta + \tan \theta (\delta y + z \sin \alpha + x \sin \gamma)$$
(2)

The simplest approach to determining the alignment parameters is to use the means of the following histograms (for the Δx case):

- 1. the distribution of residuals integrated over y, z, and ϕ , which gives δx directly,
- the residual vs. z which gives sin β,
- 3. the residual vs. $\tan \phi$ which gives δy ,
- 4. the residual/tan ϕ vs. x which gives sin γ ,
- 5. and the residual/tan ϕ vs. z which gives sin α .

D.Chakraborty, J.D.Hobbs, D0 note Oct.13, 1999



The sequence to be followed for each detector is:
1) SSD Alignment: (TPC tracks Only) Global - SSD on Global and Sectors on Global; Local - SSD Ladders on Sectors;
2) SVT Alignment: (TPC+SSD hits on tracks) Global - SVT on Global and Shells on Global; Local - SVT Ladders on Shells; (Drift Velocities);
3) Consistency Check: (TPC+SSD+SVT hits on tracks) Global;Local (ladders);Drift Velocities;

- For alignment we use "good" (well defined) tracks fitted with the primary vertex. (e.g. NFP, pt cuts)
 - Use of primary tracks significantly improves precision of track predictions in HFT and reduces influence of systematics.
 - Good statistics is a must (up to a point)(see example in Jonathan's talk)(50-100K hits per wafer/sensor)
- In order to minimize TPC space-charge distortions, tracking errors (mismatches) and PXL pileup we will need to use low luminosity and low-medium multiplicity data as the alignment sample
- Method is iterative since it is precise for small deviations

HFT Proposed Procedure:

Remember: PXL detector is a big asset (c.f. TPC)

1. Global Alignment of PXL

- Relative alignment of PXL sectors and halves using overlap region AND halves using Event vertex found by each half
- Relative alignment of PXL and TPC [TPC primary tracks]
 - Iterative->(PXL, PXL half, sector)
- Exact sequence/interplay needs to be determined
- 2. Primary tracks with TPC+PXL hits
 - Alignment of IST ladders with respect to PXL
- 3. Primary tracks with (All SSD) hits
 - Alignment of SSD ladders

4. Check

• We assume that sensors on ladder and ladders on sectors are pre-surveyed to spec³³

Precision requirements for HFT alignment

- Pointing accuracy is ultimate figure of merit: DCA resolution (in bending XY =r ϕ plane: σ_{DCA}), and resolution in non-bending plane: σ_z
 - $\sigma^2_{\text{DCA}} = \sigma^2_{\text{vertex}} + \sigma^2_{\text{track}} + \sigma^2_{\text{MCS}}$ (the same for non-bending plane)
 - primary vertex resolution: σ_{vertex} ~ 3µm+(120 µm / JN_{ch}); for central Au+Au collisions turns out to be ~5 µm
 - track pointing resolution: $\sigma_{\text{track}} \sim 1.5 \sigma_{XY}$ [in our case, where σ_{XY} is intrinsic detector precision (~10µm)] \oplus alignment errors
 - multiple scattering (MCS): σ_{MCS} ~ 20 μm / βp (GeV/c) (for thin PXL)

Overall mis-alignments of < 10 μm or <MCS are acceptable

DCA resolution



•SVT/SSD example

•With increasing no. of fitted Si points it is improved by ~ order of magnitude.

•Contribution from tracking (constant term) is comparable with MCS @ 1 GeV/c

Number of Silicon Points fitted to track	σ _{χγ} @1GeV/c (μm)
0 - TPC only	3350
1 - ● TPC+SSD	967
2 - TPC+SSD+SVT	383
3 - • TPC+SSD +SVT	296
4 - • TPC+SSD +SVT	281

Tasks

- Need to finalize the PXL sensor representation in Db (prototype sector)
- Need to setup Data formats, code to deliver matrices etc
- Need to know/map the (realistic) error of every survey step
- Need to start simulations to determine alignment software performance
- Need to rework GEANT geometry synchronization (STV, VMC)
- Need to finalize SSD procedures and initialize/define IST ones
- Need to include gravitational sagging in SSD and IST (?) model
- Need to keep/use expertise around

Plans/Timeline

Some of these efforts need to go in parallel

- It will take about a month or two to setup the chain and clean up the code for all HFT subsystems (current environment)
 - Includes software, Db structures, Hit, conventions
- We can do (some) tests in current environment or begin porting to VMC (with help)
- By the end of the year we would need to have defined and have established working interfaces to Survey for PXL
- Full chain ready to work with cosmics/data when available

Only then, when done, we can start looking at other packages

Summary

- The building up of a working chain is coming along
- All 3 needed efforts are moving along (Geo, Sur, Al)
- Benefited enormously from previous experience as we hope to benefit from current experience
- A lot still needs to be done
- Target to have a working chain for data beginning of the year is not unrealistic



References

- 1. "The STAR time projection chamber a unique tool for studying high multiplicity events at RHIC", M.Anderson et al., NIM A499: 652,2003.
- 2. "The laser system for the STAR time projection chamber", J. Abele et al., NIM A499: 692,2003.
- 3. "Correcting for distortions due to ionization in the STAR TPC", G. Van Buren et al.,NIM A566:22-25,2006.
- 4. "The STAR Silicon Vertex Tracker" A large area Silicon Drift Detector", R.Bellwied et Al., NIM A499: 640, 2003.
- 5. "The STAR silicon strip-detector (SSD)", L.Arnold et al., NIM 2003 A499: 652, 2003.
- 6. "Alignment Strategy for the SMT Barrel Detectors", D.Chakborty, J.D.Hobbs, October 13, 1999. D0 Note (unpublished)
- 7. "Sensor Alignment by Tracks", V.Karimaki et al.,CMS CR-2004/009 (presented at CHEP 2003)
- 8. http://phys.kent.edu/~margetis/STAR/HFT/Survey/SVTSmallScaleSelfAlignment.pdf
- 9. http://phys.kent.edu/~margetis/STAR/HFT/Survey/SVT_Alignment_JPCSL.pdf

The hit-track residual Δx in the direction perpendicular to the axial strips is given by

$$\Delta x \equiv x_{track} - x_{hit} = \delta x + z \sin \beta + \tan \phi (\delta y + z \sin \alpha + x \sin \gamma) - f(\vec{B}, \phi)$$
(1)

and for the direction parallel to the axial strips (in double sided ladders)

$$\Delta z \equiv z_{track} - z_{hit} = \delta z + x \sin \beta + \tan \theta (\delta y + z \sin \alpha + x \sin \gamma)$$
(2)

$$\Delta A = -B\delta\phi_n - \delta x_d + v_{dn}[A\delta\phi_t - B\delta\phi_d + \delta x_n]$$

$$\Delta B = A\delta\phi_n - \delta x_t + v_{tn}[A\delta\phi_t - B\delta\phi_d + \delta x_n]$$

The simplest approach to determining the alignment parameters is to use the means of the following histograms (for the Δx case):

1. the distribution of residuals integrated over y, z, and ϕ , which gives δx directly,

- the residual vs. z which gives sin β,
- 3. the residual vs. $\tan \phi$ which gives δy ,
- 4. the residual/tan ϕ vs. x which gives sin γ ,
- 5. and the residual/tan ϕ vs. z which gives sin α .

D.Chakraborty, J.D.Hobbs, D0 note Oct.13, 1999

41

Small Scale Self-Alignment with the SVT

G. Van Buren[†], Y. Fisyak[†], S. Margetis[‡], V. Perevoztchikov[†]
[†]Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973
[‡]Kent State University, Kent, Ohio 44242



$$\Delta A = -B\delta\phi_n - \delta x_d + v_{dn}[A\delta\phi_t - B\delta\phi_d + \delta x_n]$$

$$\Delta B = A\delta\phi_n - \delta x_t + v_{tn}[A\delta\phi_t - B\delta\phi_d + \delta x_n]$$

42 http://drupal.star.bnl.gov/STAR/comp/calib/svt/selfalign A. Appendix. Jacobian of measured hit position deviation from predicted track ones with respect to misalignment parameters.

- 1. Misalignment of the detector in Global Coordinate System (GCS)
- $\vec{j} = (j_x, j_y, j_z)$ track direction cosinuses in GCS on measurement plane,
- $\vec{x} = (x, y, z)$ track prediction in GCS on measurement plane,
- \$\vec{x}_{hit} = (x_{hit}, y_{hit}, z_{hit})\$ hit position in GCS on measurement plane,
- $\vec{v} = (v_x, v_y, v_z)$ direction of perpendicular to measurement plane in GCS,
- $\vec{\Delta} = (\Delta_x, \Delta_y, \Delta_z, \Delta_\alpha, \Delta_\beta, \Delta_\gamma)$ misalignment parameters: shift and rotation with respect to X,Y,Z axises, respectively.
- 2. Misalignment of the detector in Local Coordinate System (LCS)
- $\vec{u} = (u, v, w \equiv 0)$ track prediction in LCS on measurement plane.
- + (t_u, t_v) track direction tangenses in Local Coordinate system (LCS) on measurement plane.
- $\vec{u}_{hit} = (u_{hit}, v_{hit})$ hit position in LCS on measurement plane,
- $\vec{\delta} = (\delta_u, \delta_v, \delta_w, \delta_\alpha, \delta_\beta, \delta_\gamma)$ misalignment parameters, shift and rotation with respect to local u,v,w axises, respectively.

$$ec{u}_{hit}-ec{u}=\mathbf{L}\cdotec{\delta}=egin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & t_u & t_u v & -t_u u & v \ 0 & -1 & t_v & t_v v & -t_v u & -u \end{pmatrix}ec{\delta}$$

• $(u_{hit} - u) = -\delta_u + t_u(\delta_w + v\delta_\alpha - u\delta_\beta) + v\delta_\gamma;$ $(v_{hit} - v) = -\delta_v + t_v(\delta_w + v\delta_\alpha - u\delta_\beta) - u\delta_\gamma;$ 43